

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/607,605	(	06/30/2000	Kia Silverbrook	NPA065US 8541	
24011	7590	05/21/2004		EXAMINER	
SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD 393 DARLING STREET				FILIPCZYK, MARCIN R	
BALMAIN		E I		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTRAL	ÍΑ			2171	
				DATE MAILED: 05/21/2004	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7

		1	Λ.					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1					
	09/607,605	SILVERBROOK ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Marc R Filipczyk	2171						
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommunication of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	J. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of the d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo ute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  oirty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06</u>								
<b>,</b>	nis action is non-final.							
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-114</u> is/are pending in the applicat								
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-7 and 30-33</u> is/ai	re withdrawn from conside	ration.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,8-29 and 34-114</u> is/are rejected								
7) Claim(s) <u>1-3,8-29 and 34-45</u> is/are objected								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	i/or election requirement.							
Application Papers		•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 June 2000</u> is/are:								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action of John F 10-132.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:		. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority docume</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docume</li></ul>		Application No.						
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docume</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume</li></ul>								
application from the International Bure		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li		ot received.						
	·							
Attachment(s)	🗖							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	08) 5) Notice o	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)							



Art Unit: 2171

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Action is responsive to Applicant's response filed on April 6, 2004 (paper # 12). Claims 1-3, 8-29 and 34-114 remain for examination and claims 4-7 and 30-33 also remain cancelled.

#### **Priority**

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### Specification

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

### Claim Objections

Claims 1-3, 8-29 and 34-45 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding claims 1 and 27, the segment, "form including <u>printed coded data</u> indicative of an identity of the form and of at least one <u>location on</u> the form" is not descriptive. The coded data should be further defined in the claim to clarify the preamble.

Regarding claims 2, 3, 8-26, 28, 29 and 34-45 depend from 1 and 27 respectively and therefore contain the deficiencies of those claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:



Art Unit: 2171

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 8-18, 22-25, 27-29, 34-41, 43, 44, 46-57, 61-64, 66-74, 76, 77, 79-92, 96-99, 101-110, 112 and 113 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Escallon</u> (U.S. Patent No. 5,799,157) in view of <u>Suda et al</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,157,465).

Regarding claims 27, 29, 39, 44, 61, 66, 72, 73, 77, 101, 109 and 113, <u>Escallon</u> discloses a method and system for enabling access to travel services, the system including (see title) a form containing information relating to a travel service transaction, the form including coded data indicative of an identity of the form and of at least one reference point of the form, the method including: (fig. 1, 140 and, col. 5, lines 49-54, <u>Escalloon</u>)

(Note: reference point of a form refers to any location on the form)

a computer system for receiving indicating data (fig. 1, block 200, <u>Escallon</u>) from a sensing device (fig. 1, 100) for identifying at least one parameter relating to the travel service transaction, the indicating data being indicative of the identity of the form and a position of the sensing device relative to the form (fig. 1, 110), the sensing device sensing the indicative data using at least some of the coded data (fig. 1, 110, <u>Escallon</u>); and

identifying in the computer system and from the indicating data, at least one parameter relating to the travel service transaction (fig. 1, block 142 <u>Escallon</u>), but does not teach the sensing device is a handheld device including a marking nib.

Art Unit: 2171

However, <u>Suda</u> discloses a system for transferring jobs between processing units for which he uses an (e-pen) marking device (fig. 6, 605, <u>Suda</u>). Hence, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used an e-pen in <u>Escallon</u> system as used in <u>Suda</u> system to enter data into forms without using a keyboard. The motivation for one of ordinary skill in the art to use an e-pen would have been the convenience and flexibility of entering data to fill out forms without the use of a keyboard.

Regarding claim 28, <u>Escallon/Suda</u> disclose one parameter relating to the travel service transaction is associated with at least one zone of the form (col. 5, lines 49-57, <u>Escallon</u>).

Regarding claims 34, 67 and 104, <u>Escallon/Suda</u> disclose at least one parameter of the travel service transaction is selected from a group comprising an action parameter of the travel services transaction (fig. 3, blocks 301, 320, 330, <u>Escallon</u>).

(Note: fulfilling transaction requests)

Regarding claims 35-38, 68-71 and 105-108, <u>Escallon/Suda</u> disclose multiple parameters of travel service transactions (see col. 3, lines 1-14, <u>Escallon</u>).

Regarding claims 41, 74 and 110, <u>Escallon/Suda</u> disclose all of the claimed subject matter as discussed above with respect to claim 27 including improvements over more traditional methods such as the use of printed media (see col. 1, lines 39-47, <u>Escallon</u>)

Art Unit: 2171

Regarding claims 43, 76 and 112, <u>Escallon/Suda</u> disclose a database for keeping a retrievable record of each form generated, each form being retrievable by using its identity as included in its coded data (col. 4, lines 26-40, <u>Escallon</u>).

Regarding method claims 1-3, 8-18, 22-25, 46-57, 62-64, 79-92 and 96-99 contain same subject matter as the system claims respectively and therefore are rejected on the same basis under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as the claims above in combination over <u>Escallon</u> (U.S. Patent No. 5,799,157) in view of <u>Suda et al</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,157,465).

Claims 19-21, 26, 42, 45, 58-60, 65, 75, 78, 93-95, 100, 111 and 114 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Escallon</u> (U.S. Patent No. 5,799,157) in view of <u>Suda et al</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,157,465) further in view of <u>Wilz Sr. et al</u> (U.S. Patent No. 5,992,752).

Regarding claims 19-21, 26, 42, 45, 58-60, 65, 75, 78, 93-95, 100, 111 and 114, Escallon/Suda disclose all of the claimed subject matter as discussed above with respect to claims 1, 27, 46, 66, 79, 101 and 114, but do not expressly teach printing forms.

However, <u>Wilz</u> discloses an internet based system for enabling information-related transactions over the internet (title, <u>Wilz</u>) in which printing of coded transactions takes place (fig. 1, item 39, <u>Wilz</u>). Hence, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to print part coded forms in <u>Escallon/Suda</u> system as done in

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/607,605

Art Unit: 2171

Wilz by using a printer in conjunction with a computer. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to print forms to retain a physical copy of a transaction for record.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on April 6, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant's arguments of the 4/6/2004 response and Examiners answers are listed below.

Argument 1: (page 25)

Escallon does not disclose or fairly suggest a form including data indicative of the identity of the form and a position of a sensing device relative to the form.

Examiner disagrees. Escallon disclosed coded forms (see abstract, lines 12 and 13). Further, electronic forms contain coded data as the form's identifier (see fig. 1 of Escallon). The electronic book 100 keeps track of all the electronic forms 140. Last, the "position" of a sensing device is known therefore the sensing of the coded data can take place.

Argument 2: (page 25)

Claim 1 states that the sensing device receives indicating data regarding the position of the sensing device relative to the form. The client computer 100 of Escallon does not perform that function.

Examiner disagrees. The Examiner relies for the disputed limitation of claim 1 on elements 100, 110 and 200 (see rejection, fig. 1). The communication link 110 senses and

Art Unit: 2171

identifies data, then receives information from the system 100 and transfers it to the main system 200.

### Argument 3: (page 25)

Suda's controller 605 is very different from the sensing device of the present device.

Examiner disagrees. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). Escallon/Suda teach the sensing device of the present device wherein Suda's pen performs the functions of Escallon's communication link 110 in addition to its regular functions.

### Argument 4: (page 26)

Wilz does not teach or fairly suggest the printed forms of claim 1.

Examiner disagrees. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). Escallon/Suda teach coded forms according to the claimed invention and Escallon/Suda in view of Wilz teach printing the coded forms (see rejection).



Art Unit: 2171

With respect to all the pending claims 1-3, 8-29 and 34-114, Examiner respectfully traverses Applicant's assertion based on the discussion and rejection cited above.

#### Conclusion

To expedite the process of examination Examiner requests that all future correspondences in regard to overcoming prior art rejections or other issues (e.g. 35 U.S.C. 112, objections and the like) set forth by the Examiner that Applicants provide and link to the most specific page and line numbers of the disclosure where the best support is found (see 35 U.S.C. 132).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc R Filipczyk whose telephone number is 703-305-7156.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 703-308-1436. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2171

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MF

May 18, 2004

SAFET METJAHIC SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100